

## PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS AND COMPANIES

Asentinel, LLC  
Associated Builders and Contractors  
AutoZone  
Baker, Donelson Law Firm  
BellSouth  
Belz Investco GP  
Black Business Association  
Bronze Construction  
Clark & Clark  
Commercial Advisors, LLC  
CRESA Partners  
Drexel Chemical  
Farnsworth Investment Company  
FaxonGillis Homes, Inc.  
First Tennessee Bank  
Greater Memphis Greenline  
Industrial Developments International  
INROADS/Memphis, Inc.  
Leadership Academy  
Leadership Memphis  
Lipscomb and Pitts Insurance  
LifeSigns — The Prevention Group  
McGehee, Jim and Holly  
Medtronic Sofamor Danek  
Memphis Area Association of Realtors  
Memphis Area Home Builders Association  
Memphis Area Women's Council  
Memphis Bioworks Foundation  
Memphis Education Association  
Memphis Hotel and Lodging Association  
Memphis Restaurant Association  
Memphis Tomorrow  
Memphis Urban League  
Methodist HealthCare

MPACT Memphis  
New Path  
Pittco Management  
Planned Parenthood  
Regions Bank  
Shelby Farms Park Alliance  
South Memphis Alliance  
Barksdale/Cloverleaf Association  
Census Tract 61 Association  
East Dison Association  
Hernando Terrance Association  
Mallory Heights Association  
35th Ward Civic Club  
Trigg Subdivision Association  
Victor/Kerr Association  
Wellington/Shadowlawn Historical  
Southeast Memphis CDC  
Southeastern Asset Management  
Southland Capital  
Time Warner Cable  
Tower Ventures  
Wolf River Conservancy  
Women's Foundation of Greater Memphis



## 2006 SHELBY COUNTY VOTER GUIDE

### WHAT IS THE COALITION FOR A BETTER MEMPHIS?

CBM is an independent, non-partisan, not-for-profit organization composed of a diverse group of Shelby County individuals, businesses and organizations focused on enhancing the quality of life for residents of Memphis and Shelby County.

### ABOUT THIS GUIDE

This voter guide provides evaluations of some of the candidates in the August 3rd election, including Shelby County Mayor, Shelby County Sheriff, Shelby County School Board, Shelby County Commission, Memphis City Council and the Memphis Charter Commission. This guide does not give candidate endorsements. The goal is to provide voters with information necessary to make informed choices on election day. **The Coalition does not endorse individual candidates or promote a "slate" of candidates.**

### HOW THE CANDIDATES WERE EVALUATED

The Coalition members agreed that there were two sets of qualities that voters should consider in deciding which candidates to vote for. First, the general qualifications for holding office – the candidates' belief systems and their experience. Second, the understanding of and commitment to key issues facing Shelby County today.

**This voter guide and further information about the Coalition for a Better Memphis can be found online at [www.bettermemphis.org](http://www.bettermemphis.org). The website also contains complete responses from the candidate questionnaires.**

# HOW TO USE THE EVALUATION TABLES

There are two ways to use the candidate evaluation tables:

- 1) CBM teams evaluated candidates on the basis of a variety of qualities. If you believe that each of these qualities and issues is equally important in a candidate, refer to the "overall score" at the right of each table. The overall score represents each candidate's average score across the eight categories.
- 2) However, if one or two of these qualities or issues are more important to you than the others, then give special attention to how candidates rated in these areas. Category scores and overall scores are on a 1 to 100 scale with 100 being the highest score possible.

THE CANDIDATES	QUALIFICATIONS				KEY ISSUES				OVERALL SCORE
	VISION	QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE	ABILITY TO IMPLEMENT INITIATIVES	INTEGRITY & ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT	THE COUNTY DEBT	EDUCATION FINANCE	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	GROWTH POLICY	
AC Wharton, Jr. John H. Willingham	94 74	93 70	93 76	92 72	93 67	91 72	91 67	93 67	93 71

THE CANDIDATES	QUALIFICATIONS				KEY ISSUES				OVERALL SCORE
	QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE	LAW ENFORCEMENT PHILOSOPHY	MANAGEMENT PHILOSOPHY	JAIL COSTS	COMMUNITY RELATIONS	JAIL PRIVATIZATION	SHRINKING SERVICE AREA		
Reginald French Mark H. Luttrell	80 91	80 90	73 90	69 88	78 94	80 94	78 91	77 91	

THE CANDIDATES	QUALIFICATIONS				KEY ISSUES				OVERALL SCORE
	VISION	QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE	UNDERSTANDING ROLE	EDUCATION FINANCE	DROP-OUT STRATEGIES	MEASURING ADMINISTRATIVE PERFORMANCE	STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT	THE COUNTY DEBT	
<b>District 1</b> Teresa Price Dorothy Ray Herman Sawyer	87 84	87 88	84 80	80 74	80 82	81 80	88 83	84 84	84 82
<b>District 3</b> Anne Edmiston	Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process								
<b>District 5</b> David A. Pickler	86	90	92	N/A	80	90	90	87	88
<b>District 7</b> Ernest Chism LaRita Mitchell	85 88	88 91	78 90	78 92	74 88	81 91	85 88	81 88	81 89

THE CANDIDATES	QUALIFICATIONS				KEY ISSUES				OVERALL SCORE
	VISION	QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE	ABILITY TO IMPLEMENT INITIATIVES	INTEGRITY & ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT	THE COUNTY DEBT	EDUCATION FINANCE	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	GROWTH POLICY	
<b>District 1</b> <b>Position 1</b> Mike Ritz	87	88	88	88	89	89	89	92	89
<b>Position 2</b> George S. Flinn	Candidates who werer running unopposed in the primary elections were not evaluated by CBM								
<b>Position 3</b> Mike Carpenter Deangelo Pegues	81	86	83	86	88	89	88	84	86
Unable to contact for evaluation									
<b>District 2</b> <b>Position 1</b> J. W. Gibson, II	80	83	77	82	80	77	81	81	80
<b>Position 2</b> Henri E. Brooks Novella Smith Arnold	78 77	75 75	74 62	72 77	55 68	61 70	72 64	64 60	69 69
<b>Position 3</b> Deidre Malone	Candidates who werer running unopposed in the primary elections were not evaluated by CBM								
<b>District 3</b> <b>Position 1</b> James Harvey	75	75	73	73	72	70	68	61	71
<b>Position 2</b> Sidney Chism	81	79	79	77	74	78	77	76	77
<b>Position 3</b> Joe Ford	Candidates who werer running unopposed in the primary elections were not evaluated by CBM								
<b>District 4</b> <b>Position 1</b> Joyce Avery	Candidates who werer running unopposed in the primary elections were not evaluated by CBM								
<b>Position 2</b> Wyatt Bunker	77	76	74	75	77	79	77	78	77
<b>Position 3</b> David Lillard	Candidates who werer running unopposed in the primary elections were not evaluated by CBM								
<b>District 5</b> Steve Mulroy Jane Pierotti	86 79	83 83	88 82	86 82	85 79	83 78	86 81	88 83	86 81

THE CANDIDATES	QUALIFICATIONS				KEY ISSUES				OVERALL SCORE
	VISION	QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE	ABILITY TO IMPLEMENT INITIATIVES	INTEGRITY & ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT	PUBLIC SAFETY	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	RELATIONS WITH MAYOR		
<b>District 4</b> Dedrick Brittenum, Jr. Michelle Smith	81	84	85	86	83	85	80	83	83
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									

THE CANDIDATES	QUALIFICATIONS				KEY ISSUES				OVERALL SCORE
	QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE	KNOWLEDGE OF CITY GOVERNMENT	JUDGMENT/ FORESIGHT	GOVERNMENT COLLABORATION	MAYOR AND COUNCIL	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT/ ACCOUNTABILITY	COUNCIL DISTRICTS		
<b>Position 1</b> Willie Brooks Felicia Corbin-Johnson Joseph Fox Horace B. Jones Charles Walker	85 73	88 72	82 70	80 66	82 69	83 78	84 73	83 71	
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
<b>Position 2</b> Bill Boyd Sylvia Cox Dean Deyo Jack Eaton John Malmo Michael Sadler	87 77 93	88 76 92	85 81 92	88 76 92	90 78 93	91 79 92	81 71 94	87 77 92	
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
<b>Position 3</b> Marsha R. Campbell James A. Daugherty Sherman Kilimanjaro Charles Strong Darrell K. Thomas Keith Williams Andrew Withers	67	67	72	70	76	73	46	67	
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
<b>Position 4</b> Fred Davis Janis Fullilove Johnny Hatcher, Jr. Howard Richardson, Sr. Stanley Tyler Buckner Wellford	79	82	78	80	75	72	71	77	
Candidate responses can be viewed at <a href="http://www.bettermemphis.org">www.bettermemphis.org</a>									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
<b>Position 5</b> John Branston George Brown, Jr. Russell Hensley Larry Henson Robert Wayne West Mary Wilder	85 86 84	82 87 88	83 81 87	82 80 86	83 85 86	79 77 87	78 71 81	82 81 86	
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate responses can be viewed at <a href="http://www.bettermemphis.org">www.bettermemphis.org</a>									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
<b>Position 6</b> Debra Grundy-Chalmers Rodney Jeffery Williams Mims Frank P. Palumbo Paul Shaffer Perry Steele Reginald Tate Patsy Turner Sharon Webb Mondell B. Williams	73	79	72	75	75	67	62	72	
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									
<b>Position 7</b> Jeff Johnston Myron Lowery Anthony Milton Jenny Robertson	74 82 75	73 86 70	80 67 67	64 83 67	67 84 64	74 84 61	67 83 64	70 83 67	
Candidate chose not to participate in this evaluation process									